## Appendix A: DOT Supported Positions at Federal and State Resource Agencies, Local Governments, and Tribes, 2005, and Changes from 2003

	Federal Positions							State Positions	Local	Tribal			
Agencies	Corps	FWS	NOAA Fisheries	EPA	FS/BLM	SHPO	Coastal	EPD/Water	DNR/ Wildlife	Other			Total
Alabama						1							1
Alaska*	2	1			1	1			3				8
Arizona	1				4	1							6
Arkansas	1	1				1							3
California	1	6	2	2		3	3		0				17
Colorado		1						1					2
Connecticut								6.5					6.5
Delaware													0
Florida	4 pending	3	2	2		2	2	17	1	3			36
Georgia		2				3.5							5.5
Hawaii													0
Idaho	1	1	1			0.5							3.5
Illinois													0
Indiana						2							2
Iowa													0
Kansas													0
Kentucky		1			1.5	3.5							6.0
Louisiana		1				1.5							2.5
Maine						0.5							0.5
Maryland	1	1		1		1		2					6
Massachusetts													0
Michigan								11					11
Minnesota *						1			1				2
Mississippi		1											1
Missouri													0
Montana		1							1				2
Nebraska		1				7				1			9

Federal Positions								State Positions	Local	Tribal			
			NOAA						DNR/				
Agencies	Corps	FWS	Fisheries	EPA	FS/BLM	SHPO	Coastal	EPD/Water	Wildlife	Other			Total
Nevada													0
New Hampshire													0
New Jersey						4		4					8
New Mexico						2		1					3
New York													0
North Carolina		3		1		3	4	13	4	1			29
North Dakota													0
Ohio	4.5	1			1	2		1					9.5
Oklahoma													0
Oregon	1	2	3		0.5				3				9.5
Pennsylvania	1	2		1		2		12	3	1			22
Rhode Island								2				1	3
South Carolina	2	1				1		1	1				6
South Dakota													0
Tennessee								3					3
Texas *						1		0.5					1.5
Texas FHWA Div.	1	1		1									3
Utah													0
Vermont													0
Virginia													0
Washington	3	3	3	1				11	4			3	25
West Virginia						3							3
Wisconsin						3	_		11				14
Wyoming					2								2
Total in 2005	23.5	34	11	9	10	50.5	9	86	32	6		4	275

Note: This table does not include the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico, which funded no positions at resource agencies. The Texas Division of FHWA has been added, because TxDOT cannot fund positions at federal resource agencies and the Texas Division has done so instead. TxDOT's remaining positions, like several from other states (noted with an \*), are actually funded through services agreements and hence do not technically fund positions; however, this table intends to account for all positions funded, directly or indirectly, unless otherwise categorized and discussed in this report. The percentages on the following page are accounted utilizing 50 entities (states, with FHWA Texas Division included with TxDOT).

Changes in Positions at Various Agencies and Governments from 2003 -2005

		Fe	deral Positio	ons			Tribal					
Agencies	Corps	FWS	NOAA Fisheries	EPA	FS/BLM	SHPO	Coastal	EPD/ Water	DNR/ Wildlife	Other	All	Total
Total in 2005	23.5	34	11	9	10	50.5	9	86	32	6	4	275
Total in 2003	17	27	11	9	5	33	9	70	34	7	0	222
# of new positions	6.5	7	0	0	5	17.5	0	16	-2	-1	4	53
% increase '03-'05	38%	26%	0%	0%	100%	53%	0%	22%	-6%	-14%	NA	24%
% of total of all funded positions in 2005	9%	12%	4%	3%	4%	18%	3%	32%	12%	1%	1%	100%
% of total of all funded positions in 2003	8%	12%	5%	4%	2%	15%	4%	32%	15%	3%	0%	100%
Change in distribution among all DOT-funded positions	1%	0%	-1%	-1%	1%	3%	-1%	1%	-4%	-2%	1%	0%
Distribution by Resource Agency, (among all federal or all state resource agencies) 2005	27%	39%	13%	10%	11%	28%	5%	49%	18%	2%	2%	
Distribution by Resource Agency, 2003	25%	39%	16%	13%	7%	23%	6%	48%	23%	5%	0%	
The Agency Winners & Losers: Change in distribution by Resource Agency*	2%	0%	-3%	-3%	4%	5%	-1%	1%	-6%	-3%	2%	

<sup>\*</sup>The loss shown for State Departments of Natural Resources is somewhat misleading. North Carolina's Ecosystem Enhancement Program is no longer considered part of NCDOT's Funded Position Program. Rather, it is a component of the state DNR with independent funding, devoted to watershed planning and effective mitigation siting. This section employs 51 people in North Carolina and is considered a model by many other states, as well as federal agencies. Inclusion of this program would easily outweigh the relative decline shown by state DNRs with regard to distribution of the overall percentage of DOT-funded positions among the agencies. Agency distributions are calculated among federal agencies and among state agencies; i.e. 27% of all DOT-funded positions at federal agencies serve at USFWS.